

REMARKS

The Official Action of February 21, 2007, and the prior art cited and relied upon therein have been carefully studied. The claims in the application are now claims 1, 3- and 9-20, and these claims define patentable subject matter warranting their allowance. Favorable reconsideration and such allowance are respectfully urged.

Claims 2 and 8 have been cancelled. Claims 1, 3-7 and 9-20 remain in the application for consideration.

In response to the Examiner's objection to claims 1, 4, 6, 16, 19 and 20 and rejection of claims 1, 2 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, Applicant has amended the claims to eliminate each of the problems identified by the Examiner. Applicant respectfully submits that the objection to and rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. §112 have now been overcome.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for her indication that claim 8 would be allowable subject to being rewritten in independent form. In response, Applicant has amended claim 1 to include the features of claim 2 and allowable claim 8. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that having overcome the Examiner's objection to and rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. §112, that independent claim 1 as amended is now allowable along with claims 3-7 and 9-20

Appln. No. 10/541,069
Amdt. dated May 21, 2007
Reply to Office Action of February 21, 2007

dependent therefrom, and that this application is now in condition for allowance.

The prior art documents made of record and not relied upon have been noted along with the implication that such documents are deemed by the PTO to be insufficiently pertinent to warrant their applications against any of applicant's claims.

Favorable reconsideration and allowance are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By 
Norman J. Latker
Registration No. 19,963

NJL:ma
Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197
Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528
G:\bn\i\isle\keller17\pto\2007-05-21-Amendment.doc